

# NUTRITIONAL ADEQUACY AND ORAL NUTRITIONAL SUPPLEMENTATION IN OLDER COMMUNITY-DWELLING ADULTS

L. McKeever<sup>1</sup>, I.C. Farrar<sup>2</sup>, S. Sulo<sup>3</sup>, J. Partridge<sup>3</sup>, P. Sheean<sup>4</sup>, M. Fitzgibbon<sup>5,6</sup>

**Abstract:** *Background:* Older adults (65 years and older) comprise a high-risk group that are susceptible to the development of malnutrition. Dietary intake and diet quality represent key modifiable risk factors to help prevent and to treat declines in nutrition status, with oral nutritional supplements (ONS) often being a cost-effective therapy for many to increase protein and caloric intake. The DETERMINE Checklist offers a series of questions capable of mapping the initial landscape of contextual factors that influence the dietary patterns of the at-risk populations. *Objectives:* To examine independent predictors of inadequate dietary intake and poor diet quality amongst a multi-ethnic sample of urban community-dwelling older adults in an effort to identify target groups of participants that could benefit most from an ONS intervention. *Design:* Cross-sectional. *Participants:* Chicago, Illinois, United States urban residents greater than 55 years of age who self-reported to be non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, or Hispanic. *Methods:* Telephone surveys were conducted to obtain basic demographic information. The DETERMINE Checklist was administered to (1) characterize participants' nutritional risk, and (2) identify participants with inadequate intake and/or poor diet quality. Predictors of inadequate intake, defined as any participant who reported either to eat less than two meals per day and/or poor diet quality, defined as any participant who reported to eat few fruits, vegetables or dairy were used to identify groups of participants who could benefit most from ONS consumption. Mantel-Hanzel chi square, Breslow-day tests, and logistic regressions were conducted. *Results:* 1001 ethnically diverse participants were interviewed (37% non-Hispanic White, 37% non-Hispanic Black, and 26% Hispanic). Respondents were predominantly female (69%) with a mean age of 66.9 ( $\pm$  6.4) years. The majority were found to be at either moderate or high nutrition risk (78.7%). Domains of the DETERMINE Checklist that predicted either inadequate dietary intake or poor diet quality included social isolation, lower levels of educational attainment, food insecurity, limitations in activities of daily living (ADL), polypharmacy, or three or more alcoholic drinks per day. Of the participants who met the criteria as those who would benefit from ONS, less than 50% had reported consuming ONS in the past six months. *Conclusion:* Older community-dwelling adults living in an urban setting, especially those with social isolation, lower levels of education, food insecurity, limitations with ADLs, polypharmacy, and those reporting heavy alcohol intake represent a population who could benefit from consuming ONS. Efforts should be made towards further understanding these contextual factors and providing nutrition education along with an ONS intervention that could be beneficial to supplement dietary inadequacies in this population.

**Key words:** Nutrition risk, community nutrition, older adults, oral nutritional supplement, DETERMINE Checklist.

## Introduction

Older adults (65 years and older) comprise a high-risk group that are susceptible to the development of malnutrition. This can occur due to decreased macronutrient intake, poor diet quality (1), mental

illness (2, 3) impaired mastication (3, 4) polypharmacy (4), social isolation (5, 6), poverty (1), and declines in activities of daily living (ADL) (4, 7, 8). Preventing or treating malnutrition in this population is important, as it is associated with increased mortality (9), hospital readmission (10, 11), unplanned weight loss (1), increased fractures (12, 13), and loss of independence (14). Dietary intake and diet quality represent key modifiable risk factors to help prevent and to treat declines in nutrition status, with oral nutritional supplements (ONS) often being a cost-effective therapy for many to increase protein and caloric intake. In fact, when ONS is used independently and in combination with other nutrition interventions (e.g., education, dietary counseling, etc.) among community-dwelling adults, it may result in overall cost savings due to improving health outcomes of at-risk for malnutrition patients (15-17).

1. Department of Kinesiology and Nutrition, College of Applied Health Sciences, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA; 2. Survey Research Laboratory, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA; 3. Abbott Nutrition Research & Development, Columbus, OH, USA; 4. Marcella Niehoff School of Nursing, Loyola University, Chicago, IL, USA; 5. Institute for Health Research and Policy, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA; 6. Department of Pediatrics, College of Medicine, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

*Corresponding Author:* Liam McKeever, PhD, RDN, University of Illinois at Chicago, Department of Kinesiology and Nutrition, College of Applied Health Sciences, 1919 W. Taylor St, Applied Health Sciences Building, Room 633, Chicago, IL 60612, Email: [wmckee2@uic.edu](mailto:wmckee2@uic.edu), Phone: 773-263-2492

In 1993, the Nutrition Screening Initiative (NSI) developed the DETERMINE Checklist, a nutrition screening tool of 10 questions designed to identify older adult patients who would benefit from more in-depth nutrition assessment (1). This checklist was created primarily to facilitate the education of patients on the importance of nutrition as well as to inform clinicians of the unique dietary needs of older adults. While the DETERMINE Checklist was not designed as a stand-alone screening tool, its 10 questions cover a broad range of scenarios that characterize the unique challenges of the aging population. Of these 10 questions, 1 relates to inadequate intake, identifying patients who 'eat fewer than 2 meals per day.' One relates to poor diet quality, detecting patients who eat 'few fruits, vegetables, or milk products,' while the remaining 8 questions categorize possible causes for inadequate intake or poor diet quality.

To date, the literature exploring malnutrition in the United States (USA) within community settings is limited and few studies have specifically examined the independent root causes of modifiable malnutrition risk factors. Therefore, the objective of this study was to use the DETERMINE Checklist to: (1) examine the independent predictors of inadequate intake and poor diet quality amongst a multi-ethnic sample of older urban community-dwelling adults, and (2) to use those predictors to identify target groups (Predictor Groups) of participants that could benefit most from ONS intervention.

## Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted in community-dwelling Chicago, Illinois (USA) residents greater than 55 years of age. Respondent information was collected via a telephone survey, which was created by a multidisciplinary team of researchers in collaboration with the Survey Research Laboratory of the University of Illinois at Chicago (SRL). The telephone interviews were conducted by the Interviewing Service of America (ISA).

**Interviewer Training:** Twenty-nine interviewers from ISA underwent a rigorous 24-hour general training in telephone interviewing skills. They were then trained specifically on the components of the study survey by expert personnel. This included orientation to the background and purpose of the study, training in each survey question, and practice interviews.

## Field Procedures

This study was conducted over 4 months. Four sample frames were used; two random digit dial (landline and cell) and two listed (landline and cell). The two listed sample frames were targeted to reach participants within the selected age range and race/ethnicity distributions. Potential participants were called up to 6 times before determining them unreachable. Computer Assisted

Telephone Interviewing (CATI) software was used to administer the survey. Interviews were conducted in English and Spanish on weekends and weekday evenings. Respondents were told the interview would last approximately 20 minutes and they would be paid \$10 for their participation if they provided their mailing address.

## Participants

Eligible participants for this study were any person residing within the City of Chicago, Illinois, USA who used a landline or a cell phone with a Chicago area code. To be included in the survey, participants had to self-report their race/ethnicity as non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, or Hispanic; speak either English or Spanish; be 55 years of age or older; and be willing to participate in the study.

## The Survey

The goal of the survey was to characterize nutritional risk, specifically seeking information regarding ONS usage. Components of the survey included in the analysis were basic sociodemographic information (i.e., gender, age, height, weight, education, insurance status), administration of the DETERMINE Checklist, and recent ONS usage.

## Nutritional Risk

The DETERMINE Checklist is a list of 10 yes/no statements surveying various predetermined risk factors related to malnutrition risk in the older adults. Originally, the DETERMINE Checklist was tested in adults greater than 70 years of age (1), but has since been used and validated in adults as young as 60 years (18, 19). Usually, a score ranging from 0 to 21 is generated from these yes/no comments on nutrition risk in general: no nutritional risk (0-2), moderate nutritional risk (3-5) or high nutritional risk (6 or more). The current study abandons analysis of the score itself, but makes use of the questions as system of independent and dependent variables to predict groups that might benefit most from ONS. Figure 1 lists the DETERMINE Checklist questions and details the proxy terms used to describe the study variables. For the purpose of this study, a participant with 'Inadequate Intake' was defined as anyone who answered 'yes' to the DETERMINE Checklist item for eating fewer than 2 meals per day. A participant with 'Poor Diet Quality' was defined as anyone who answered 'yes' to the DETERMINE Checklist item for eating few fruits, vegetables, or milk products. These are simple, yet efficient screening questions that directly address the root sources of malnutrition.

**Figure 1**  
The NSI DETERMINE Checklist and Proxy Terms for Each Item

Nutrition Screening Initiative (NSI) DETERMINE Checklist	
Question Asked	Proxy Meaning
I have an illness of condition that made me change the kind and/or amount of food I eat	Illness-induced diet change
I eat fewer than two meals per day	Inadequate intake
I eat few fruits vegetables or milk products	Poor diet quality
I have three or more drinks of beer, liquor or wine almost every day	3+ drinks per day
I have tooth or mouth problems that make it hard for me to eat	Dentition/mouth issues
I don't always have enough money to buy the food I need	Food insecurity
I eat alone most of the time	Social isolation
I take three or more different prescribed or over-the-counter drugs a day	Polypharmacy
Without wanting to, I have lost or gained 10 pounds in the last six months	Unplanned weight change
I am not always physically able to shop, cook and/or feed myself	ADL issues*

\*ADL=Activities of Daily Living

## ONS

ONS was defined for the participants as liquid beverages found in the pharmacy section of their grocery store or in special sections of their pharmacy that are consumed in addition to food when diet alone cannot fully provide calorie, protein, and other nutrient needs. Participants were asked whether they had consumed ONS in the past six months.

## Outcome Variables

The analysis centered on identifying groups of participants who had increased odds of inadequate intake and/or poor diet quality. Potential groups explored were participants who answered ‘yes’ to any of the remaining 8 domains of the DETERMINE Checklist, as well as the standard sociodemographic variables. Groups with increased odds of either inadequate nutritional intake and/or poor diet quality were labeled Predictor Groups as they were predictive of those two variables and constituted groups of participants who could benefit most from ONS consumption.

## Statistical Analysis

Utilizing basic demographic information from the survey, and data from the DETERMINE Checklist, our analysis centered on identifying groups of participants who had increased odds of inadequate dietary intake and/or poor diet quality. Groups with increased odds of either inadequate intake and/or poor diet quality were labeled Predictor Groups as they were predictive of those

two variables and constituted groups of participants who could benefit most from ONS consumption. Descriptive statistics were conducted to assess differences stratified by gender and race/ethnicity. Predictors of inadequate intake and poor diet quality were explored using Mantel-Hanzel chi square tests and logistic regression modeling. Potential predictors explored included the 8 other components of the DETERMINE Checklist as well as basic sociodemographic variables. Breslow-Day tests were then used to explore effect modification amongst the potential predictors. Model one explored predictors of inadequate intake and model two explored predictors of poor diet quality. Regression diagnostics were run to assess collinearity amongst variables and manual backwards elimination with an alpha cut-point of <0.05 being used to derive the final models. All statistical analyses were run in SAS 9.4.

## Results

The ISA database contained 64,475 relevant phone numbers. Ninety percent of these numbers were unusable (n=57,381) because they were no longer working (42%; n=27,022), the participant did not answer (27%, n=17,427), they went to voicemail (19%, n=11,922), or the participant was no longer living in Chicago (2%, n=1,010). Of the 7064 remaining numbers, 1001 participants were found eligible, able and willing to complete the interview. Based on the ratio of participants eligible, able and willing to complete the survey and patients known to be eligible, but unwilling or unable, our response rate was 85.8% (n=1001/1165). Based on the sum of eligibility estimates from the larger unreachable sample and the known eligible, a more conservative response rate of 7.7% (n= 1001/12,948) was calculated. The mean age of respondents was 66.9 (± 6.4) years. Respondents were predominantly female (69%) with fairly equal distribution by race/ethnicity [non-Hispanic White (37%), non-Hispanic Black (37%) and Hispanic (26%)]. Education attainment was high overall with approximately 60% of participants reporting they attended ‘at least some college.’ College attendance was particularly low, however, amongst Hispanics (28%) as compared to non-Hispanic Blacks (60%) and non-Hispanic Whites (82%). Over 73% of the population was either overweight or obese. Very few participants in our sample were underweight (3%) as defined by BMI<18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. The DETERMINE Checklist found 28.6% of participants to be at moderate nutrition risk and 50.1% of participants to be at high nutrition risk. Frequency tables for sociodemographic characteristics and DETERMINE Checklist risk factors stratified by oral intake status and diet quality are presented in Table 1. Inadequate dietary intake and poor diet quality were more common in participants with illness-induced diet changes, poor dentition and oral disease, food insecurity, social isolation, polypharmacy, limitations in ADL, and

**Table 1**  
Sociodemographic and NSI DETERMINE Risk Factor Characteristics Stratified by Oral Intake and Diet Quality

		Total N=1001	Inadequate Intake		P <sup>a</sup>	Poor Diet Quality		P <sup>a</sup>
			Yes N=274	No N=722		Yes N=476	No =520	
Age					0.151			0.277
	55-67 years	497	126	367		228	265	
	≥67 years	455	135	319		226	228	
Gender					0.433			0.021
	Female	694	185	506		314	378	
	Male	307	89	216		162	142	
Race/Ethnicity					<0.0001			<0.0001
	White	373	63	308		130	243	
	Black	369	117	249		189	177	
	Hispanic	259	94	165		157	100	
Body mass index Category					0.067			0.014
	Underweight	26	12	14		18	8	
	Normal weight	242	57	184		96	143	
	Overweight	326	92	233		154	171	
	Class I Obese	202	52	149		96	105	
	Class II Obese	81	19	62		44	37	
	Class III Obese	124	42	80		68	56	
Education					<0.0001			<0.0001
	Attended college	595	114	477		226	368	
	High school or less	398	155	242		244	150	
Insurance					0.012			0.147
	Yes	918	242	671		428	487	
	No	69	28	41		38	30	
Illness-induced diet change					0.036			0.027
	Yes	427	131	294		221	205	
	No	569	141	426		253	312	
3+ drinks per day					0.163			0.172
	Yes	48	9	39		27	20	
	No	952	265	682		448	500	
Dentition/mouth issues					<0.0001			0.003
	Yes	130	59	70		78	52	
	No	870	215	651		398	468	
Food insecurity					<0.0001			<0.0001
	Yes	298	124	174		186	110	
	No	698	149	545		288	408	
Social isolation					<0.0001			0.040
	Yes	481	160	317		245	235	
	No	516	112	403		228	284	
Polypharmacy					0.032			0.057
	Yes	559	168	390		280	276	
	No	438	104	330		193	243	
Unplanned weight change					<0.0001			0.083
	Yes	317	112	202		164	153	
	No	675	155	518		307	363	
ADL <sup>b</sup> issues					<0.0001			<0.0001
	Yes	207	88	118		134	72	
	No	789	184	601		339	446	
ONS <sup>c</sup> in past 6 months					0.172			0.717
	Yes	114	37	76		56	58	
	No	882	234	644		415	462	

a. Tests for difference between male and female and between race/ethnicity groups: Mantel Hanzel Chi Square tests were used for all variables using P-values derived from nonzero correlation for ordinal variables and general association for all other variables; b. ADL=Activities of Daily Living; c. ONS=Oral Nutritional Supplements

**Table 2**  
Crude and Adjusted Odds Ratios (OR) for Logistic Regression Models predicting Inadequate Intake and Poor Diet Quality

Characteristic	Models Predicting Inadequate Intake		Models Predicting Poor Diet Quality	
	Crude OR for Inadequate intake (95% CI)	Adjusted OR for Inadequate Intake† (95% CI)	Crude OR for Poor Diet Quality†† (95% CI)	Adjusted OR for Poor Diet Quality (95% CI)
Median age				
≥67	1.23 (0.93, 1.64)	-	1.15 (0.89, 1.49)	—
<67	ref		ref	
Gender				
Male	ref	-	ref	Varies by Education Status
Female	0.89 (0.66, 1.20)		0.73 (0.56, 0.95)*	
Race/Ethnicity				
Non-Hispanic White	ref	Varies by level of Education and ADL Issues	ref	White Vs Other No ADL Issues
Non-White	2.49(1.81, 3.42)**		2.34(1.79, 3.04)**	0.54(0.39, 0.75)*
Black	2.30 (1.62, 3.26)**		2.00 (1.49, 2.68)**	1.64(1.15, 2.33)*
Hispanic	2.79 (1.92, 4.04)**		2.94 (2.11,4.08) **	2.32(1.51, 3.56)**
				Hispanic Vs White No ADL Issues
				2.32(1.51, 3.56)**
				*OR's for effect of race amongst subjects with ADL Issues insignificant
BMIa Category				
Underweight	2.77 (1.21, 6.32)*	-	3.35 (1.40, 8.01)*	—
Normal	ref		ref	
Overweight	1.28 (0.87, 1.87)		1.34 (0.96, 1.88)	
Class I Obese	1.13 (0.73, 1.74)		1.36 (0.93, 1.99)	
Class II Obese	0.99 (0.55, 1.79)		1.77 (1.07, 2.94)*	
Class III Obese	1.70 (1.05, 2.73)*		1.81 (1.17, 2.80)*	
Median Education Level				
Attended College	0.37 (0.28, 0.50)**	Amongst Whites 0.22 (0.12, 0.42)**	0.38 (0.29, 0.49)**	Amongst Females
High School or Less	ref	Amongst Blacks 0.72 (0.46, 1.16)	ref	0.66 (0.47, 0.94)*
		Amongst Hispanics 0.54 (0.28, 1.03)		Amongst Males 0.30 (0.17, 0.52)**
Insurance				
Yes	ref	-	ref	—
No	1.89 (1.15, 3.13)*		1.44 (0.88, 2.37)	
Illness-induced diet change				
Yes	1.35 (1.02, 1.79)*	-	1.33 (1.03, 1.71)*	—
No	ref		ref	
3+ Drinks per day				
3+ drinks per day	0.59 (0.28, 1.24)	-	1.51 (0.83, 2.72)	2.16 (1.14 4.09)*
<3 drinks per day	ref		ref	ref
Dentition/Mouth Problems				
Yes	2.55 (1.77, 3.73)**	-	1.76 (1.21, 2.57)*	—
No	ref		ref	
Food insecurity				
Yes	2.61 (1.94, 3.49)**	1.76 (1.27, 2.45)*	2.40 (1.81, 3.17)**	1.70 (1.24, 2.34)*
No	ref	ref	ref	ref
Social Isolation				
Yes	1.82 (1.37 2.41)**	1.62 (1.19, 2.20)*	1.30 (1.01, 1.67)*	—
No	ref	ref	ref	
Polypharmacy				
3+ medications/day	1.37 (1.03, 1.82)*	-	1.28 (0.99, 1.64)	Amongst Subjects ADL Issues=Yes 2.22(1.17, 4.21)*
<3 medications/day	ref		ref	Amongst ADL Issues=No 0.97(0.72, 1.32)
Unplanned weight change				
Yes	1.85 (1.38, 2.48)**	-	1.27 (0.97, 1.66)	—
No	ref		ref	
ADLb Issues				
Yes	2.44 (1.77, 3.36)**	Amongst Whites 4.92 (2.04, 11.84)*	2.45 (1.78, 3.37)**	Varies by Race/Ethnicity and Polypharmacy
No	ref	Amongst Blacks 0.86 (0.50, 1.48)	ref	
		Amongst Hispanics 1.83 (1.05, 3.20)*		

a. BMI=Body Mass Index; b. ADL=Activities of Daily Living; †Median Age, gender, BMI Category, Insurance, Illness-induced diet change, 3+ Drinks per day, Dentition/Mouth Problems, Unplanned Weight Change were assessed but were statistically insignificant and dropped from the model; ††Median Age, BMI Category, Insurance, Illness-induced diet change, Dentition/Mouth Problems, Social Isolation, and Unplanned Weight Change were assessed but were statistically insignificant and dropped from the model; \*P-value <0.05; \*\*P-value <0.0001

**Table 3**  
Analysis of Subjects Who Would Benefit from Oral Nutritional Supplementation (ONS) by Predictor Group

Predictor Group	Total N (%) of Participants in Predictor Group <sup>a</sup>	N (%) of Participants in Predictor Group who Would Benefit from ONS <sup>b</sup>	N (%) of Participants in Predictor Group to Target for ONS Intervention <sup>c</sup>
Social Isolation	481 (48.24%)	310 (64.45%)	266 (55.30%)
High school or less	398 (40.08%)	296 (74.37%)	257 (64.57%)
Poverty	298 (29.92%)	229 (76.8%)	204 (68.46%)
ADLd Issues	207 (20.78%)	161 (77.78%)	131 (63.29%)
Polypharmacy	146 (14.56%)	120 (82.19%)	93 (63.70%)
3+ drinks per day	48 (4.8%)	29 (60.42%)	26 (54.17%)

a. The number and percent of subjects in the predictor group; b. The number and percent of those in the predictor group who also have either inadequate intake or poor diet quality; c. The number of participants in the predictor group who have either inadequate intake or poor diet quality and who have not taken oral nutritional supplements in the past six months. The percent represents the percent of participants in the total predictor group to target with ONS Intervention; d. ADL = Activities of Daily Living

lower levels of educational attainment. Poor diet quality was more common in men.

Table 2 provides the predictive modeling results for 'inadequate dietary intake' and 'poor diet quality.' Overall, inadequate dietary intake was higher in participants reporting food insecurity ( $P=0.0007$ ) and social isolation ( $P=0.0019$ ) compared to those who did not. Among non-Hispanic White participants, inadequate nutritional intake was more common in those who did not attend college ( $P<0.0001$ ) compared to those that attended at least some college. While this association is directionally similar amongst non-Hispanic Black and Hispanic participants, it did not achieve statistical significance. Compared to those who did not have limitations in ADLs, having ADL limitations was associated with inadequate intake amongst non-Hispanic Whites ( $P=0.0004$ ) and Hispanics ( $P=0.0302$ ), but not amongst non-Hispanic Black participants ( $P=0.5922$ ).

Poor Diet Quality was also higher in participants with food insecurity ( $P=0.0010$ ) and in those who reported consumption of 3 or more alcoholic drinks per day ( $P=0.0175$ ). Specifically, poor diet quality was more common in women ( $P<0.0001$ ) and men ( $P=0.0209$ ) who did not attend college versus those who did, with college attendance being over two times more protective in men compared to women. Amongst participants without ADL limitations, poor diet quality was more common in non-Hispanic Black ( $P=0.0061$ ) and Hispanic ( $P<0.0001$ ) participants compared to non-Hispanic Whites. Amongst those who had ADL limitations, poor diet quality was more common in participants who claimed to take 3 or more medications per day ( $P=0.0150$ ).

In total, 585 participants (58%) reported either inadequate dietary intake or poor diet quality; thereby meeting the pre-defined criteria for requiring ONS. Of these 585, only 73 participants (13%) had reported consuming ONS in the past 6 months. Table 3 lists the predictor groups that could benefit most from ONS. Groups to target with ONS therapy include those

reporting: social isolation, lower levels of educational attainment, food insecurity, ADL limitations, polypharmacy, or those who drink three or more drinks per day. The percentage of participants in these groups who met the criteria as someone who would benefit from ONS but who had not recently consumed ONS were 55%, 65%, 68%, 63%, 64%, and 54% respectively.

## Discussion

Based upon results of the DETERMINE Checklist administered by telephone survey, this study demonstrated that social isolation, less educational attainment, low income, heavy daily alcohol intake, compromised ADL, and polypharmacy were significant independent predictors for inadequate dietary intake and/or poor diet quality among an ethnically diverse, predominantly female community sample. Although these results are consistent with existing literature (5, 6, 19-21), what is perhaps more interesting in our study is that so few participants in these predictor groups who could potentially benefit from ONS reported to be consuming ONS. This finding reveals a large group who could benefit most from this simple and cost-effective intervention (15, 22).

Poor diet quality and inadequate dietary intake are common themes amongst older community-dwelling adults. In 2015, Borg et al. (23) published a meta-analysis of 44 trials in Western countries in community-dwelling older adults with a pooled sample size of 14,419 participants comparing energy and macronutrient intake against normal reference values. The average energy intake was approximately 13% below the estimated average requirement of 2,450 kcals/day. In general, the oral diets of these older participants were below the acceptable macronutrient distribution ranges (AMDR) for carbohydrates, on the lower end of the AMDR for protein, and on the higher end for fat. These diets were 3% above the upper acceptable range for saturated fat

and low in mono- and poly-unsaturated fats. Vitamin and mineral intake is low in older adult diets as well. In one study (19) of 345 elderly homebound Georgians, 81% had intakes deficient in magnesium, 94% in vitamin E, and 51% in zinc. Perhaps more alarming was that >95.6% had deficient calcium intake and almost every participant reported inadequate vitamin D intake. In this study, skipping breakfast alone was associated with deficient energy intake as well as inadequate intake of 12 essential nutrients.

Studies exploring the effects of ONS on clinically relevant outcomes in older adults are abundant. The National Collaborating Center for Acute Care (in United Kingdom) performed a series of meta-analyses (24) exploring the pooled effects of randomizing hospital and community-dwelling subjects to receive proprietary ONS versus standard care. Randomization to receive ONS was found to significantly decrease the risk of mortality and complications, hospital length of stay, and increased weight gain. In addition, ONS has also been found to play a vital role in preventing hospital readmissions. In 2013, Stratton et al. performed a meta-analysis (25) that found a 41% reduced odds of hospital readmission amongst older adults using ONS in addition to standard care as compared to controls receiving only standard care. Further, adherence to ONS therapy in older individuals appears to be met with minimal resistance. A meta-analysis (26) of 32 randomized controlled trials and 14 non-randomized studies was performed to confirm compliance to ONS regimens. Overall pooled compliance in community settings was 80.9%. The mean age of the study participants overall (hospital and community-dwellers combined) was 74 years.

Despite the current evidence supporting the positive impact ONS has on various health outcomes for at-risk individuals, very few who might benefit from ONS are actually consuming ONS. In our study, of the 58% of participants classified as individuals who would benefit from ONS due to their poor dietary patterns, only approximately 12% had reported consuming an ONS in the past 6 months. These findings imply that registered dietitians and other healthcare professionals, given their patient's dietary needs, should target their nutrition education for ONS supplementation towards the key Predictor Groups identified in this study as they are the most likely to have inadequate dietary intake and poor diet quality. These results also highlight the importance of nutrition screening and education by primary care clinicians in these populations. It also highlights the DETERMINE Checklist as a valuable tool for identifying vulnerable individuals according to specific answers provided. The information gathered through the DETERMINE Checklist may assist clinicians in mapping the initial landscape of contextual factors that influence the dietary patterns of the at-risk populations. Therefore, efforts should be made towards further understanding these contextual factors and providing nutrition education and ONS that could be beneficial to

supplement dietary inadequacies in this population.

Our study had several limitations, which are commonly reported for survey study designs. First, the cross-sectional design of our study precludes causal inference for any of the reported associations. Second, participants without either a landline or a cell phone with a Chicago area code were excluded from the survey. To the extent to which these excluded persons differed from our included participants, our study may be vulnerable to coverage bias. Third, we had a large percentage of non-responders, leading to possible non-response error. Participants who did not respond may differ meaningfully from those who did, inadvertently omitting an important portion of our target population from our analysis. Regardless of these limitations, this study included a large sample size of a multi-ethnic group of participants and the use of a professional survey research laboratory and interviewing service to assemble and administer the survey. Another strength of this study is the use of the DETERMINE Checklist as a set of domains for predicting inadequate intake and poor diet quality rather than as a weighted score. This methodology makes use of the simple strengths of the tool while bypassing the validity issues encountered in other studies (7, 18, 27). The findings generated from this analysis could inform the designing and tailoring of future nutrition-focused interventions that could improve the nutritional outcomes of the older adult population at nutritional risk living in different community settings.

## Conclusions

Inadequate dietary intake and/or poor diet quality are highly prevalent in community-dwelling older adults with social isolation, lower levels of educational attainment, food insecurity, ADL concerns, polypharmacy, and high alcohol intake. These adults may benefit from but are mostly not consuming ONS. Ensuring both the quality and quantity of their oral intake represents a tangible method to potentially treat or even prevent nutritional decline amongst older community-dwelling adults. It would be helpful to understand more about potential contextual factors in the lives of older adults, such as limited social support, poor access to healthful food options, limited food purchasing and preparation skills, depression, and others that place them at nutrition risk. Future research is needed to further identify specific barriers to proper nutritional support in this population and the potential for successful nutrition-related interventions to supplement their dietary inadequacies.

*Funding Disclosure:* This study was funded by a grant from Abbott Nutrition (#HA28). I. Farrar, M. Fitzgibbon, and P. Sheehan received salary support from Abbott Nutrition. S. Sulo and J. Partridge are employees of Abbott Nutrition.

*Conflicts of Interest:* Jamie Partridge and Suela Sulo receive a salary and stock from Abbott.

*Ethical standards:* This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the University of Illinois at Chicago and Loyola University Chicago Institutional Review Boards. Verbal informed consent was obtained from all subjects. Verbal consent was witnessed and formally recorded.

## References

1. Posner BM, Jette AM, Smith KW, Miller DR. Nutrition and health risks in the elderly: the nutrition screening initiative. *Am J Public Health* 1993;83(7):972-8.
2. Gunduz E, Eskin F, Gunduz M, Bentli R, Zengin Y, Dursun R, Icer M, Durgun HM, Gurbuz H, Ekin M, et al. Malnutrition in Community-Dwelling Elderly in Turkey: A Multicenter, Cross-Sectional Study. *Med Sci Monit* 2015;21:2750-6. doi: 10.12659/MSM.893894.
3. Feldblum I, German L, Castel H, Harman-Boehm I, Bilenko N, Eisinger M, Fraser D, Shahar DR. Characteristics of undernourished older medical patients and the identification of predictors for undernutrition status. *Nutr J* 2007;6:37. doi: 10.1186/1475-2891-6-37.
4. Favaro-Moreira NC, Krausch-Hofmann S, Matthys C, Vereecken C, Vanhauwaert E, Declercq A, Bekkering GE, Duyck J. Risk Factors for Malnutrition in Older Adults: A Systematic Review of the Literature Based on Longitudinal Data. *Advances in nutrition* 2016;7(3):507-22. doi: 10.3945/an.115.011254.
5. Boulos C, Salameh P, Barberger-Gateau P. Social isolation and risk for malnutrition among older people. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2017;17(2):286-94. doi: 10.1111/ggi.12711.
6. Locher JL, Ritchie CS, Roth DL, Baker PS, Bodner EV, Allman RM. Social isolation, support, and capital and nutritional risk in an older sample: ethnic and gender differences. *Soc Sci Med* 2005;60(4):747-61. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2004.06.023.
7. Sahyoun NR, Jacques PF, Dallal GE, Russell RM. Nutrition Screening Initiative Checklist may be a better awareness/educational tool than a screening one. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1997;97(7):760-4. doi: 10.1016/S0002-8223(97)00188-0.
8. Kantoch A, Wielek J, Gryglewska B, Grodzicki T. Nutritional and Functional Status in Newly Hospitalized Older Patients Who are Not Underweight. *J Nutr Gerontol Geriatr* 2017;36(2-3):111-20. doi: 10.1080/21551197.2017.1377138.
9. Gariballa S, Forster S. Malnutrition is an independent predictor of 1-year mortality following acute illness. *Br J Nutr* 2007;98(2):332-6. doi: 10.1017/S0007114507701708.
10. Kassin MT, Owen RM, Perez SD, Leeds I, Cox JC, Schnier K, Sadiraj V, Sweeney JF. Risk factors for 30-day hospital readmission among general surgery patients. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons* 2012;215(3):322-30. doi: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2012.05.024.
11. Mudge AM, Kasper K, Clair A, Redfern H, Bell JJ, Barras MA, Dip G, Pachana NA. Recurrent readmissions in medical patients: a prospective study. *J Hosp Med* 2011;6(2):61-7. doi: 10.1002/jhm.811.
12. Goisser S, Schrader E, Singler K, Bertsch T, Gefeller O, Biber R, Bail HJ, Sieber CC, Volkert D. Malnutrition According to Mini Nutritional Assessment Is Associated With Severe Functional Impairment in Geriatric Patients Before and up to 6 Months After Hip Fracture. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2015;16(8):661-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2015.03.002.
13. Koren-Hakim T, Weiss A, Hershkovitz A, Otzrateni I, Grosman B, Frishman S, Salai M, Beloosesky Y. The relationship between nutritional status of hip fracture operated elderly patients and their functioning, comorbidity and outcome. *Clin Nutr* 2012;31(6):917-21. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2012.03.010.
14. Zuliani G, Romagnoni F, Volpato S, Soattin L, Leoci V, Bollini MC, Buttarello M, Lotto D, Fellin R. Nutritional parameters, body composition, and progression of disability in older disabled residents living in nursing homes. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2001;56(4):M212-6.
15. Elia M, Normand C, Laviano A, Norman K. A systematic review of the cost and cost effectiveness of using standard oral nutritional supplements in community and care home settings. *Clin Nutr* 2016;35(1):125-37. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2015.07.012.
16. Hamirudin AH, Charlton K, Walton K. Outcomes related to nutrition screening in community living older adults: A systematic literature review. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2016;62:9-25. doi: 10.1016/j.archger.2015.09.007.
17. Lyons BP. Nutrition education intervention with community-dwelling older adults: research challenges and opportunities. *J Community Health* 2014;39(4):810-8. doi: 10.1007/s10900-013-9810-x.
18. Sinnett S, Bengler R, Brown A, Glass AP, Johnson MA, Lee JS. The validity of Nutrition Screening Initiative DETERMINE Checklist responses in older Georgians. *J Nutr Elder* 2010;29(4):393-409. doi: 10.1080/01639366.2010.521031.
19. Sharkey JR, Branch LG, Zohoori N, Giuliani C, Busby-Whitehead J, Haines PS. Inadequate nutrient intakes among homebound elderly and their correlation with individual characteristics and health-related factors. *The American journal of clinical nutrition* 2002;76(6):1435-45.
20. Walker D, Beauchene RE. The relationship of loneliness, social isolation, and physical health to dietary adequacy of independently living elderly. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1991;91(3):300-4.
21. Weimer JP, United States. Department of Agriculture. Economic Research Service. Factors affecting nutrient intake of the elderly. Washington, D.C. (1800 M. Street NW Washington 20036-5831): United States Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, 1998.
22. Philipson TJ, Snider JT, Lakdawalla DN, Stryckman B, Goldman DP. Impact of oral nutritional supplementation on hospital outcomes. *Am J Manag Care* 2013;19(2):121-8.
23. ter Borg S, Verlaan S, Mijnarends DM, Schols JM, de Groot LC, Luiking YC. Macronutrient Intake and Inadequacies of Community-Dwelling Older Adults, a Systematic Review. *Ann Nutr Metab* 2015;66(4):242-55. doi: 10.1159/000435862.
24. Edtion ed. Nutrition Support for Adults: Oral Nutrition Support, Enteral Tube Feeding and Parenteral Nutrition. London, 2006.
25. Stratton RJ, Hebuterne X, Elia M. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the impact of oral nutritional supplements on hospital readmissions. *Ageing Res Rev* 2013;12(4):884-97. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2013.07.002.
26. Hubbard GP, Elia M, Holdoway A, Stratton RJ. A systematic review of compliance to oral nutritional supplements. *Clin Nutr* 2012;31(3):293-312. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2011.11.020.
27. Vieira LS, Assuncao MCF, Schafer AA, Santos I. Validity assessment of the nutrition screening initiative checklist in older adults. *Clin Nutr ESPEN* 2016;15:16-20. doi: 10.1016/j.clnesp.2016.05.002.