Journal of Aging Research & Clinical Practice© Volume 2, Number 1, 2013

DISCREPANCY AND ASSOCIATION BETWEEN PERCEIVED SLEEP STATE AND OBJECTIVE SLEEP MEASURES IN OLDER ADULTS WITH SLEEP COMPLAINTS

W.C. Liao^{1,2}, S.Y. Tsai³, C.P. Kuo¹, L. Wang⁴, C. Lo⁵, H. Ting⁶, M.J. Chiu^{7,8,9}

Abstract: *Objective:* We investigated sleep state and the perception of sleep state in a group of community dwelling elders with sleep disturbance. Discrepancy between perceived and measured sleep and its relation to sleep quality and sleep structure were examined. *Design:* A cross-section correlation study. *Setting:* Sleep laboratory in a medical center. *Participants:* Thirty-two healthy older adults (mean age 63.0 years, 19 women and 13 men) with sleep disturbance (Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index score \geq 5). *Measurements:* Participants were scheduled to sleep three consecutive nights to receive overnight polysomnography (PSG) and completed the Morning Questionnaire the next morning. *Results:* PSG showed 379.5 minutes of total sleep time (TST) with nocturnal awakenings of 48 minutes, and a sleep efficiency of 85.7%. Participants perceived 344.4 minutes of TST with awakenings of 29.6 minutes and a sleep efficiency of 80.4%. Significant differences (t=2.07-2.85, p<.05) existed between perceived and measured sleep. About one-third (31.3%) markedly underestimated (> 60 min) their TST. Discrepancy between the perceived and measured TST was negatively correlated with the duration of stage 1 sleep and sleep quality, which indicated that participants with poor sleep quality and longer stage 1 sleep perceived a shorter than actual TST. *Conclusions:* Older adults with sleep complaints have poor objective sleep. Both sleep structure and cognitive components affect the perception of sleep state.

Key words: Older adult, polysomnography, sleep quality, sleep state perception.

Introduction

Sleep disturbance is prevalent in older adults who often complain about having trouble falling asleep, frequent and often prolonged nocturnal awakenings, and early morning awakening with an inability to return to sleep (1, 2). The most common method to assess sleep is the self-reporting of sleep state and sleep quality using sleep logs or questionnaires. However, self-reported measures tend to overestimate sleep latency and underestimate awakening and total sleep time when compared to the "gold standard" of sleep measure,

Received June 15, 2012 Accepted for publication August 20, 2012 polysomnography (PSG) (3). Previous studies failed to find a significant correlation between perceived and PSGderived sleep latency, awakening, and total sleep time in healthy older adults (3, 4), and insomniacs (5). Greater discrepancies between perceived and PSG sleep parameters were reported between subjects than within subjects (3). Sleep structures and brain functions are also probably involved in the process of sleep state perception (6-8).

Compared to young adults, older adults have an increased amount of nocturnal wakefulness (2) and NREM stage 1 sleep with a reduced amount or complete absence of NREM stages 3 and 4 sleep (1, 9) and a small decrease or no change in REM sleep (10). Increased sleep latency (i.e., the time needed to fall asleep > 30 minutes), reduced sleep efficiency (i.e., ratio of time spent asleep/time in bed < 85%), and a sleep duration of < 6 hours are common in older adults. These characteristics are consistent with the criteria of insomnia, although not all older adults complain of poor sleep (11), suggesting individual variation of sleep state appraisal.

Perceived sleep state is an important component of sleep quality. Given several age-related changes in older adults' sleep and a high likelihood of misperceptions of

School of Nursing, Chung Shan Medical University; 2. Department of Nursing, Chung Shan Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan; 3. Department of Nursing, College of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan; 4. School of Public Health, Chung Shan Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan; 5. School of Nursing, Chinese Medical University, & Adjunctive Supervisor, Department of Nursing, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan; 6. Department of Rehabilitation, Chung Shan Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan; 7. Department of Neurology & Center of Sleep Disorder, National Taiwan University Hospital; 8. College of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan; 9. Department of Psychology, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan;

Corresponding Author: Ming-Jang Chiu, MD., PhD, Department of Neurology, National Taiwan University Hospital, No. 7, Sec 1, Chung-Shang S. Rd. Taipei, Taiwan, 100; TEL: +886-933929390 ; FAX: +886-2-23418395; Email: mjchiu@ntu.edu.tw

JOURNAL OF AGING RESEARCH AND CLINICAL PRACTICE®

sleep state in insomnia complainers, we investigated sleep state and the perception of sleep state in a group of community dwelling elders with sleep disturbance in Taiwan. We further examined the discrepancy between perceived and measured sleep and explored its relation to sleep quality and sleep structure.

Methods

Participants

Adults aged 55-80 years complaining of sleep disturbance were recruited from the community (12). Subjects with acute illness, renal failure, diabetes mellitus, peripheral vascular diseases, neurological diseases, or psychiatric disorders were excluded. After the initial assessment, 43 subjects were enrolled and screened for anxiety and depression, sleep disturbance, and sleep apnea on the first adaptation night in the laboratory. Subjects who had high anxiety (n=1) or sleep apnea (n=2), had no sleep disturbance (n=5), or withdrew themselves from the study (n=3) were excluded from further analysis.

Institution Review Board approvals were obtained from the University of Washington, National Taiwan University Hospital, and Chun Shan Medical University Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Procedures

Participants were scheduled to sleep three consecutive nights to receive overnight PSG at a sleep laboratory in a medical center. Night one was for adaptation and screening. Sleep data from the second or the third night without intervention were combined and analyzed in this study. Participants kept their daily routine and came to the sleep laboratory three hours before their usual bedtime. The lights-off and lights-on schedule was based on each participant's habitual sleep. Perceived sleep quality was assessed by the Morning Questionnaire (MQ) the next morning (12). Sleeping environment was quiet and dark, and participants wore their own pajamas. Ambient temperature and humidity in the laboratory averaged 24.8±1.2°C and 56.1±5.2%, respectively.

Measurements

Screening for Anxiety and depression

The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scales (HADS) (13) were used to assess each participant's anxiety and depression. The HADS contains 7 items for anxiety and 7 for depression that are each rated on a 4-point Likert scale

ranging from 0 (not at all) to 3 (most of the time), giving a possible score of 0-21 in both the anxiety and depression subscales. A subscale score of 11 or more is indicative of a clinical diagnosis of anxiety or depression. High reliability and validity of the Chinese Version of the HADS have been reported in different populations of Taiwan.

Screening for Sleep disturbance.

The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) was used to assess participants' subjective sleep disturbance (14). The PSQI is a well-validated instrument for assessing subjective sleep quality over a one-month period (14). It has 19 self-rated questions that generate scores from seven components: "Duration of sleep", "Sleep disturbance", "Sleep latency", "Day dysfunction due to sleepiness", "Sleep efficiency", "Overall sleep quality", and "Need meds to sleep". A global PSQI score is summed from each component to yield a range of 0-21. A score of 5 or greater is used to discriminate poor from good sleep. Previous research demonstrated good internal consistency (alpha = 0.82) and test-retest reliability (r = 0.85) of the PSQI Chinese version (CPSQI) in Taiwan's populations (15).

Screening for sleep apnea

The first-night PSG was used to screen out subjects with sleep apnea. As recommended by the American Academy of Sleep Medicine (16), a sleep apnea event was defined as the absence of airflow for at least 20 seconds with a reduction in oxygen saturation of more than 3%. Participants with an apnea index (AI) \geq 5 events per hour were excluded.

Polysomnography study

Electrodes for PSG including the electroencephalogram (EEG), electro-oculogram (EOG), and electromyogram (EMG) were placed according to standard procedures. Data were obtained and analyzed with the PolySmith system (NeuroFax 1100 system, Nihon Konden Co., Tokyo, Japan). The measurement of chest parameters was added to the PSG recording system on the first night. Respiratory movements were measured with bands placed around the chest and the abdomen (Nihon Konden Co., Tokyo, Japan). Air flow was measured by a nasal cannula pressure system. Oxygen saturation was measured from the left index finger by a pulse oximeter (Ohmeda Biox 3740, Susquehanna Micro, Inc.). Prior to each session, all PSG channels were calibrated.

Sleep stages were scored in 30-second epochs by one of the authors according to standard criteria (17). The right central channel (C4-A1) was the main EEG signal for

-

sleep staging. Intra-rater reliability of sleep stages reflected an agreement of 85–90% in a 10% random sample of the recordings. For each participant, the following sleep variables were obtained: total sleep time, sleep efficiency, sleep latency, NREM sleep (stages 1–4), REM sleep, and waking after sleep onset (WASO).

Perceived Sleep Quality

A Morning Questionnaire (MQ) was used to assess the participants' perceived sleep quality (12). The MQ contains three questions regarding sleep latency, sleep duration, and the number and duration of awakenings, as well as three 10-cm visual analog scales (VAS) to assess the individual's perception of restoration (1= not rested/restored to 10=rested/restored), overall sleep quality (1= very poor to 10 = very good), and overall satisfaction (1= very dissatisfied to 10=very satisfied).

Discrepancy between perceived and measured sleep state

The difference between perceived and PSG measured sleep time in terms of total sleep time (D_TST) and sleep latency (D_SL) were obtained. Values of the difference that were close to 0 indicate high accuracy. Positive values indicated an overestimate, and negative values indicate an underestimate of sleep time and sleep latency.

Data analysis

Descriptive statistics including the mean, standard deviation, frequency and percentage were calculated to summarize the data. A paired t-test was used to examine the difference between the MQ and PSG sleep time. A Pearson correlation was used to examine the relationship between the PSG and MQ sleep variables. The relationship between the discrepancy (D_TST) and sleep quality or sleep structure was also examined with the Pearson correlation. Significance levels were set at p < 0.05 for all of these two-tailed statistical tests.

Results

Demographic and clinical characteristics

Table 1 shows the demographic and clinical characteristics of the 32 participants. About 60% of them were women. Mean age of the participants was 63 (SD = 6.7) years. Most of them were married, retired, and had more than 12 years of education. All participants had scores less than 11 in both HADS subscales indicative of the absence of clinically significant depression or anxiety. The participants' mean global PSQI score was 9.2 ± 3.4 with a range of 5-19 indicative of sleep disturbance.

Table 1
Demographic and clinical characteristics of the
participants (n=32)

	Values	
Age, years	63.0±6.7	
Depression ¹	3.9±2.3	
Anxiety ¹	4.1±3.1	
Sleep quality ²	9.2±3.4	
Gender		
Female	19(59.4)	
Male	13(40.6)	
Marriage		
Single/widow	6(18.7)	
married	26(81.3)	
Education		
6-9 years	7(21.9)	
12 years	11(34.4)	
14-16 years and more	14(43.7)	
Working status		
Working ³	15(46.9)	
Retired	17(53.1)	

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation or n (%); 1. Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, overall rating; 2. Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index, global score; 3. Work included teacher, house-keeping, engineer, etc.

 Table 2

 Habitual sleep from the PSQI prior to participating in study (n=32)

	n (%)	
Habitual closen		
Subjective clean latency		
<-30 min	19(59.4)	
<=50 min 31_60 min	5(15.6)	
>-60 min	8(25)	
Actual sleeping hours	0(23)	
>- 7 hrs	3(9.4)	
~~ 7 1115 6-6 9 hrs	4(12.5)	
5-5.9 hrs	18(56.3)	
$-4.9 \mathrm{hrs}$	7(21.9)	
Habitual sleep officiency	7(21.))	
~85%	10(31.3)	
≥0370 75-84%	7(21.9)	
~75%	15(46.9)	
Sleen quality overall	15(40.7)	
Very good or good	13(40.7)	
Poor or very poor	19(59.3)	
Troubles during sleen	1)(0).0)	
Can't get to sleep within 30 minutes		
0-2 times/wk	20(62.6)	
more than 3 times/wk	12(37.5)	
0-2 times/wk	22(68.7)	
more than 3 times/wk	10(31.3)	
Have to get up to use bathroom	10(0110)	
0-2 times/wk	13(40.6)	
more than 3 times/wk	19(59.4)	
Daytime functioning		
Trouble staving awake		
0-2 times/wk	26(81.3)	
more than 3 times/wk	6(18.8)	
Problem to get things done		
0-2 times/wk	28(87.5)	
more than 3 times/wk	4(12.5)	
Taking sleep medicine		
none	28(87.5)	
1-2 times/wk	4(12.5)	

Data are presented as n (%).

JOURNAL OF AGING RESEARCH AND CLINICAL PRACTICE®

	MQ	Р	SG	t4	р	corr ⁵	р
Sleep							
Total sleep time (min)	344.4±63.7	379.	5±56.7	2.85	0.008	0.334	0.061
Sleep latency (to stg 1) (min)	30.6±20.0	17.9	9 ± 18.4	-2.35	0.025	-0.142	0.447
Wake after sleep onset (min)	29.6±43.4	48.1	±21.3	2.17	0.039	0.166	0.398
Sleep efficiency (tst/tib) (%)	80.4±14.0	85.7±5.8		2.07	0.047	0.231	0.212
Sleep stages in PSG (min±SD, % sle	eep period time±SE	, respectively)					
Wake		48.1±21.3	11.3±4.7				
Stage 1		57.4±41.5	12.9±8.6				
Stage 2		218.2±45.9	51.2±9.3				
Stage 3 & 4		26.8±36.0	6.5±9.2				
REM		77.1±22.2	18.2 ± 5.0				
Perceived sleep quality in MQ							
Overall sleep quality ¹	7.2±1.7						
Overall sleep satisfaction ²	7.7±1.4						
Restoration ³	6.8±1.9						

 Table 3

 Comparison of polysomnography (PSG) and perceived sleep (MQ) (n=32)

Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation; 1. Sleep quality visual analog scale in the Morning Questionnaire 1=very poor, 10=very good; 2. Sleep satisfaction visual analog scale in the Morning Questionnaire 1=not satisfied, 10=very satisfied; 3. Sleep quality visual analog scale in the Morning Questionnaire 1=not rested/restored, 10=rested/restored; 4. Paired-t test, 2 tailed was used to test the differences between PSG and MQ sleep measures; 5. Pearson correlation to examine linear correlation between PSG and MQ sleep measures.

Habitual Sleep Quality

Table 2 shows habitual sleep patterns from the PSQI one month prior to participation in the study. Most participants had a usual bed time of between 22:00-24:00 h (90.6%), and a usual rise time of before 7:00 h (84.4%). More than half of the participants perceived their sleep latency as less than 30 min (59.4%) but estimated their duration of sleep below 6 hours (78.2%) and sleep efficiency below 85% (68.7%). The majority of the participants rated their overall sleep quality as poor or very poor (59.4%). Their major troubles during sleep were that they had to get up to use the bathroom (59.4%), could not get to sleep within 30 min (37.5%), and would wake up in the middle of the night or in the early morning (31.3%). However, during the day, most participants did not have trouble staying awake (43.8%) or getting things done (59.4%). Only four (12.5%) of them ever needed medication to sleep.

Perceived (MQ) and Measured (PSG) Sleep

Table 3 shows the distribution and comparison of parameters between perceived (MQ) and measured (PSG) sleep. Participants perceived 344.4 minutes of total sleep time, with a sleep latency of approximately 30 minutes, and awakenings of about 30 minutes. A perceived sleep efficiency of 80.4% was calculated by perceived total sleep time over time in bed. PSG also showed borderline poor sleep (18) with the measured total sleep time of 379.5 minutes, nocturnal awakenings of 48 minutes, and a sleep efficiency of 85.7%. The participant's perception of sleep length was underestimated. In the PSG sleep structure, wake and stage 1 sleep together accounted for

24.2% of sleep, and the amount of slow wave sleep (stage 3 & 4 sleep) was only 6.5% (Table 3). Comparing the differences between the MQ and the PSG sleep measures with paired t-tests, participants perceived significantly longer sleep latencies but shorter total sleep time and awakening time than those measured by PSG. Moreover, there were no associations between MQ and PSG sleep parameters. The average of sleep restoration, overall sleep quality, and satisfaction using the 10-cm VAS scales tended to be slightly restored (6.8), moderate sleep quality (7.2), and moderately satisfied (7.7) (Table 3). About 60% of participants were not satisfied with their sleep.

Discrepancy between perceived and measured sleep state

Table 4 shows the distribution of the discrepancy between perceived and measured sleep latency and total sleep time. More than half (54.8%) of the participants overestimated their sleep latency at greater than 10 minutes with an average excess of 12.4 minutes. More than half (53.2%) of participants underestimated their total sleep time (>30 min) and nocturnal awakening time (>30 min) by an average of 35.1 and 18.4 minutes, respectively.

Discrepancy of perceived and measured total sleep time (D_TST) was negatively correlated with the duration of measured total sleep time, the duration of stage 1 sleep, and sleep quality (Figure 1). This implies that participants with poor sleep quality, longer measured total sleep time, and longer stage 1 sleep tended to underestimate their total sleep time. They perceived their sleep as shorter than the PSG measured.

 Table 4

 Distributions of discrepancy in perceived sleep latency, total sleep time, and wake after sleep onset (n=32)

	Values	Range
D-SL ¹	12 4+29 2	-59~86 5
<-10 min	4(12.9)	0, 0010
+10 min	10(32.3)	
10~30 min	12(38.7)	
30~60 min	4(12.9)	
>60 min	1(3.2)	
D-TST ²	-35.1±69.7	-169~78.5
<-120 min	6(18.8)	
-60 ~ -120 min	4(12.5)	
-30 ~ -60 min	7(21.9)	
±30 min	8(25.0)	
30 ~ 60 min	5(15.6)	
>60 min	2(6.3)	
D-WASO ³	-18.4 ± 44.9	-71~115
-60 ~ -120 min	2(7.1)	
-30 ~ -60 min	13(46.4)	
±30 min	8(28.6)	
30 ~ 60 min	4(14.3)	
>60 min	1(3.6)	

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation or n (%); 1. D-SL= discrepancy of sleep latency between perceived and measured sleep, subjective sleep latency minus PSG sleep latency; 2. D-TST= discrepancy of total sleep time between perceived and measured sleep, subjective total sleep time minus PSG total sleep time; 3. D-WASO=discrepancy of wake after sleep onset between perceived and measured sleep, subjective WASO minus PSG WASO

Figure 1

Correlation plots between D_TST (difference between perceived and measured total sleep time) and (a) sleep quality represented by the global PSQI score and PSG sleep structure, (b) total sleep time, (c) stage 1 sleep, (d) stage 2 sleep, (e) slow wave sleep (SWS), and (f) rapid eye movement sleep (REM) in the participants (n=32). D_TST was negatively correlated with sleep quality, the duration of total sleep time, and the duration of stage 1 sleep



Discussion

In this study we found that older adults with poor habitual sleep perceived poor sleep quality. Their PSG results showed that older adults had difficulty in maintaining sleep with frequent nocturnal awakenings of more than 30 minutes. Most of their sleep was light sleep (64%, stage 1 & 2 sleep) with significantly decreased deep sleep (6.5% stage 3 & 4 sleep) to a level far below the normal range of adult sleep (15-20%) (19). Function of the deep sleep on humans is to repair cells and restore energy (20). A shortage of deep sleep could result in the nonrestorative sleep in the next morning. Comparing the perceived sleep to the PSG sleep, participants overestimated their sleep latency but underestimated their total sleep time and sleep efficiency. The discrepancy implied a misperception of their sleep state. The misperception of sleep state, especially the underestimation of their total sleep time, further contributed to their sense of non-satisfaction about their sleep. Insomnia may be classified as sleep state misperception (subjective insomnia, subjective complaints without objective findings) and true insomnia (objective insomnia, subjective complaints with corresponding objective findings) (3). Cognitive components affect the perception of sleep state for both subjective and objective insomnia (21, 22) and it should not be ignored in the assessment and intervention of older adults with sleep complaints and/or insomnia.

In addition, we found that the duration of stage 1 sleep was negatively correlated with the amount of underestimation of the total sleep time (D_TST); and the amount of underestimation of total sleep time was further associated with poor sleep quality. Thus, sleep structure is an important factor of sleep state perception compatible with previous studies (8, 23). To improve sleep quality in older adults, it is necessary not only to increase total sleep time and sleep efficiency, but also to decrease light sleep and nocturnal awakening and enhance slow wave sleep.

Although older adults with sleep complaints in this study also showed evidence of insomnia in PSGmeasured sleep, there were no correlations between the perceived and measured sleep. On the contrary, significant differences existed between the perceived and measured sleep that was supported by previous studies on various populations (3, 5). Neuroimaging studies of the humans showed that the cerebellum, prefrontal cortex and a corticostriatal network in the basal ganglia are responsible for the ability of time perception during wakefulness (24). Among them the prefrontal cortex may play an important role in the estimation of time during sleep (7, 26). Sleep induced prefrontal cortical deactivation progresses in a sleep-stage dependent manner, which might influence the accuracy of time estimation (23). This may, at least in part, account for why subjects JOURNAL OF AGING RESEARCH AND CLINICAL PRACTICE®

with more light sleep (stage 1) perceived the sleep state (total sleep time) with better accuracy because the human brain is disengaged from the outer world from stage 2 and beyond (including deep sleep and REM sleep) when a close estimation of the time passed becomes very difficult. Brain function plays a role in the perception of sleep state.

In conclusion, older adults with sleep complaints have poor objective sleep. Discrepancies between the perceived and the measured sleep are negatively associated with the reported sleep quality suggesting that cognitive components may play a role in sleep appraisal and sleep satisfaction (23). Older adults with poor sleep quality and longer stage 1 sleep perceived a shorter than actual sleep time. Sleep structure, especially the duration of stage 1 sleep affects the perception of sleep state with an effect on a more accurate estimation of the total sleep time in older adults with sleep complaints. Misperception of sleep state may have an adverse effect on sleep quality in older adults with sleep complaints. Therefore even subjective insomnia without corresponding objective findings should be taken serious consideration because poor reported sleep quality predicts poor quality of life in different clinical populations and healthy persons (27, 28).

References

- Floyd JA, Medler SM, Ager JW, et al. Age-related changes in initiation and maintenance of sleep: A meta-analysis. Research in Nursing and Health 2000; 23:106-117.
- Klerman EB, Wang W, Duffy JF, et al. Survival analysis indicates that agerelated decline in sleep continuity occurs exclusively during NREM sleep. Neurobiol aging 2012 Jun 22 [Epub ahead of print]
- Baker FC, Maloney S, Driver HS. A comparison of subjective estimates of sleep with objective polysomnographic data in healthy men and women. Journal of Psychosomatic Research 1999; 47:335-341.
- O'Donnell D, Silva EJ, Munch M, et al. Comparison of subjective and objective assessments of sleep in healthy older subjects without sleep complaints. J Sleep Res 2009; 18:254-263.
- McCall WV, Turpin E, Reboussin D, et al. Subjective estimates of sleep differ from polysomnographic measurements in obstructive sleep apnea patients. Sleep 1995; 18:646-650.
- Aritake-Okada S, Higuchi S, Suzuki H, et al. Diurnal fluctuations in subjective sleep time in humans. Neurosci Res 2010; 68:225-231.
- Aritake-Okada S, Uchiyama M, Suzuki H, et al. Time estimation during sleep relates to the amount of slow wave sleep in humans. Neurosci Res 2009;

63:115-121

- Martinez D, Breitenbach TC, Lenz Mdo C. Light sleep and sleep time misperception - relationship to alpha-delta sleep. Clin Neurophysiol 2010; 121:704-711.
- Van Someren EJ: Circadian and sleep disturbances in the elderly. Experimental Gerontology 2000; 35:1229-1237.
- Van Cauter E, Leproult R, Plat L. Age-related changes in slow wave sleep and REM sleep and relationship with growth hormone and cortisol levels in healthy men. Journal of the American Medical Association 2000; 284:861-868.
- Vitiello MV, Larsen LH, Moe KE. Age-related sleep change: Gender and estrogen effects on the subjective-objective sleep quality relationships of healthy, noncomplaining older men and women. Journal of Psychosomatic Research 2004; 56:503-510.
- Liao WC, Chiu MJ, Landis CA. A warm footbath before bedtime and sleep in older Taiwanese with sleep disturbance. Res Nurs Health 2008; 31:514-528.
- Zigmond, A. S., & Snaith, R. P. (1983). The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand, 67(6), 361-370.
- Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, 3rd, Monk TH, et al. Quantification of subjective sleep quality in healthy elderly men and women using the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI). Sleep 1991; 14:331-338.
- Tsai PS, Wang SY, Wang MY, et al. Psychometric evaluation of the Chinese version of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (CPSQI) in primary insomnia and control subjects. Quality of Life Research 2005; 14:1943-1952.
- 16. AASM: The International Classification of Sleep Disorders, 2nd. American Academy of Sleep Medicine, 2005.
- Rechtschaffen A, Kales A. A manual of standardized terminology, techniques and scoring system for sleep stages of human subjects., Los Angels, Brain information service/Brain research institute, University of California, 1968
- Lichstein KL, Durrence HH, Taylor DJ, et al. Quantitative criteria for insomnia. Behav Res Ther 2003; 41:427-445.
- Landis CA. Sleep and methods of assessment. Nursing Clinics of North America 2002; 37:583-597.
- Carskadon M, Dement W. Normal human sleep: An overview, in Principles and practice of sleep medicine. Edited by Kryger M, Roth T,Dement W. Philadelphia, W.B. Saunders, 2011, pp 16-26.
- Tang NK, Harvey AG: Correcting distorted perception of sleep in insomnia. a novel behavioural experiment? Behav Res Ther 2004; 42:27-39.
- Gehrman P, Matt GE, Turingan M, et al. Towards an understanding of selfreports of sleep. Journal of Sleep Research 2002; 11:229-236.
- Pinto LR, Jr., Pinto MC, Goulart LI, et al. Sleep perception in insomniacs, sleep-disordered breathing patients, and healthy volunteers--an important biologic parameter of sleep. Sleep Med 2009; 10:865-868.
- 24. Coull JT, Vidal F, Nazarian B, et al. Functional anatomy of the attentional modulation of time estimation. Science 2004; 303:1506-1508.
- Lewis PA, Miall RC. Distinct systems for automatic and cognitively controlled time measurement: evidence from neuroimaging. Curr Opin Neurobiol 2003; 13:250-255.
- Kajimura N, Uchiyama M, Takayama Y, et al. Activity of midbrain reticular formation and neocortex during the progression of human non-rapid eye movement sleep. J Neurosci 1999; 19:10065-10073.
- Bower B, Bylsma LM, Morris BH, et al. Poor reported sleep quality predicts low positive affect in daily life among healthy and mood-disordered persons. J Sleep Res 19:323-332.
- Elder SJ, Pisoni RL, Akizawa T, et al. Sleep quality predicts quality of life and mortality risk in haemodialysis patients: results from the Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS). Nephrol Dial Transplant 2008; 23:998-1004.